

T3[®] Short Implant Reference List

PMID	REFERENCE	STUDY TYPE Variables	STUDY IMPLANTS: Lengths	Implant (N)	CSRs (%)
22631883	Sivolella S, Stellini E, Testori T, Di Fiore A, Berengo M, Lops D. Splinted and unsplinted short implants in mandibles: a retrospective evaluation with 5 to 16 years of follow-up. J Periodontol. 2013;84(4):502-12.	RETRO 109 Patients 9 years	Osseotite [®] and Machined: 7.0 and 8.5 mm	Oss: 104 Mach: 176	Oss = 97.2 Mach = 95.7
16160574	Goené R, Bianchesi C, Hüerzeler M, Del Lupo R, Testori T, Davarpanah M, Jalbout Z. Performance of short implants in partial restorations: 3-year follow-up of Osseotite implants. Implant Dent. 2005;14(3):274-80.	RETRO 188 Patients 3 years	Osseotite: 7.0 and 8.5 mm	Total: 311	95.8
25422824	Felice P, Cannizzaro G, Barausse C, Pistilli R, Esposito M. Short implants versus longer implants in vertically augmented posterior mandibles: a randomised controlled trial with 5-year after loading follow-up. Eur J Oral Implantol. 2014;7(4):359-69.	RCT 60 Patients 5 years	NanoTite [®] External Hex: 7.0 mm	Short: 60 Aug: 61	Short = 91.7 Aug = 95.1
20467635	Cannizzaro G, Leone M, Torchio C, Viola P, Esposito M. Immediate versus early loading of 7-mm-long flapless-placed single implants: a split-mouth randomized controlled clinical trial. Eur J Oral Implantol. 2008;1(4):277-92.	RCT 30 Patients 4 years	NanoTite External Hex: 7.0 mm	IMM: 29 Early: 31	IMM = 96.6 Early = 96.8
26669547	Felice P, Pistilli R, Barausse C, et al. Short implants as an alternative to crestal sinus lift: A 1-year multicentre randomised controlled trial. Eur J Oral Implantol. 2015;8(4):375-84.	RCT 20 Patients 1 year	T3-Surfaced Biomax Implants: 5.0 and 6.0 mm	Short: 16 Long: 18	Short = 100 Long = 100
25738177	Cannizzaro G, Felice P, Buti J, Leone M, Ferri V, Esposito M. Immediate loading of fixed cross-arch prostheses supported by flapless-placed supershort or long implants: 1-year results from a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Oral Implantol. 2015;8(1):27-36.	RCT 30 Patients 1 year	T3-Surfaced Biomax Implants: 5.0 and 6.0 mm	Short: 152 Long: 151	Short = 98.7 Long = 99.3
26804969	Lemos CA, Ferro-Alves ML, Okamoto R, Mendonça MR, Pellizzer EP. Short dental implants versus standard dental implants placed in the posterior jaws: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Dent. 2016; 47:8-17.	META-ANALYSIS 13 clinical studies 0.3 to 12 years	AstraTech, Biomet 3i, Conexao, Global, MegaGen, Southern, Straumann, Zimmer: 4 to 7 mm , 8 mm	Short: 981 Total: 2,631	Short = 96.13 Stand = 97.28 [diff CI: 0.82-2.22]
25216134	Lee SA, Lee CT, Fu MM, Elmisalati W, Chuang SK. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials for the management of limited vertical height in the posterior region: short implants (5 to 8 mm) vs longer implants (> 8 mm) in vertically augmented sites. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2014;29(5):1085-97.	META-ANALYSIS 4 RCTs 5 year	AstraTech, Biomet 3i, MegaGen, Zimmer: 5 to 8 mm	Short: 265 Total: 539	Short = 93.6 [CI: 89.8 - 97.5] Longer = 90.3 [CI: 85.2 - 95.4]
25997901	Thoma DS, Zeltner M, Hüsler J, Hämmerle CH, Jung RE. Short implants versus sinus lifting with longer implants to restore the posterior maxilla: a systematic review. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2015 Sep;26 Suppl 11:154-69.	POOLED ANALYSIS 8 RCTs 8 to 18 months	AstraTech, MegaGen, Southern: 5 and 6 mm	Short: 306 Total: 634	Short = 99.0 [CI: 96.4-99.8] Longer = 99.5 [CI: 97.6-99.9]
23189293	Srinivasan M, Vazquez L, Rieder P, Moraguez O, Bernard JP, Belser UC. Efficacy and predictability of short dental implants (<8 mm): a critical appraisal of the recent literature. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2012;27(6):1429-37.	DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS 17 clinical studies 0.4 to 9 years	AstraTech, Bicon, Biomet 3i, BTI, Endopore, MegaGen, Nobel, Straumann: 4 to 7.5 mm	Short: 1,828	Short = 97.53 Range: 92.2-100

T3[®] Short Implants



CONTACT
your local rep
FOR MORE INFO



The T3 Short Implant's length and features provide an implant treatment option in those cases where vertical bone height is insufficient for a traditional length (>6 mm) implant.

- **T3 Surface**
Blasted and acid-etched implant surface with an average roughness of 1.4 μm along the full length of the implant.¹
- **Implant/Abutment Clamping Force**
Use of the Gold-Tite[®] Screw increases the implant/abutment clamping force by 83% vs. a non-coated screw.^{2*} Manual platform switching is recommended.**
- **Initial Bone-to-Implant Contact (IBIC)**
The dimensions of the surgical instrumentation and the T3 Short Implant provide a tight implant-to-osteotomy fit, which can assist with primary stability.³
- **Compact Surgical Kit And Instrumentation**
Designed to specifically support site preparation and placement of T3 Short Implants.

¹ Gubbi P[†], Towse R[†]. Quantitative and Qualitative Characterization of Various Dental Implant Surfaces. Poster Presentation: European Association for Osseointegration, 21st Annual Meeting; October 2012; Copenhagen, Denmark. To view the poster, please visit www.biomet3i.com/Pdf/Posters/Poster_421_EAO_Final.pdf

² Suttin Z[†], Towse R[†]. Effect of Abutment Screw Design on the Seal Performance of an External Hex Implant System. Presented at the European Association for Osseointegration, 22nd Annual Scientific Meeting; October 2013; Dublin, Ireland. http://biomet3i.com/Pdf/Posters/P-450_Effect_of_Screw_Design_on_Implant_Seal.pdf

³ Meltzer AM[‡]. Primary stability and initial bone-to-implant contact: The effects on immediate placement and restoration of dental implants. *J Implant Reconstr Dent.* 2009;1(1):35-41.

[†] The authors conducted this research while employed at Biomet 3i.

[‡] Dr. Meltzer has a financial relationship with Zimmer Biomet Dental, resulting from speaking engagements, consulting engagements and other retained services.

* Bench test results are not necessarily indicative of clinical performance.

** Placement of a smaller diameter restorative component than the diameter of the implant seating surface.